

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,180	12/05/2001	12/05/2001 Amir Satran		3617	
26158	7590 11/10/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER		
WOMBLE P.O. BOX 7	CARLYLE SANDRID	PETERSON,	PETERSON, KENNETH E		
	GA 30357-0037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3724	5.	
			DATE MAILED: 11/10/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					_ \			
		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/002,180		SATRAN ET AL.	$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}}$			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kenneth E Peters	on	3724				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover	sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress			
Period fo		N	IDE ANONTHY	, EDOM				
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failure - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the provided by the Office later than three months after the mand patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however eply within the statutory minion od will apply and will expire S tute, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be time mum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from ti become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely he mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	August 2004.						
2a)□	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
3)	, <u> </u>							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application	on.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
-								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requiren	nent.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
-	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•	, ,	R 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	• • • •		` '			
Priority ι	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).				
	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	. ,	• ()	., .,				
,	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been recei	ved.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume			n No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2)	a)).		_			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified cop	pies not received	i.				
Λ 	(4)							
Attachment	(\$) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4. □ 1.	nterview Summary (I	PTO.412\				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🗀 " F	nterview Summary (i Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	e,				
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date	(8) 5) D N		tent Application (PTC	⊢152)			

Art Unit: 3724

1. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph for undue multiplicity.

With the amendment of 20 August 2004, the case now has 6 active independent claims and 25 claims total. All of these claims are directed to a single, simple tool, namely the rotary cutting tool have a specific bit.

The Examiner acknowledges that the Applicant needs and deserves to be able to draft claims of varying scope to afford him the the best protection. However, 6 independent claims and 25 claims total, all directed to one simple tool, each with it's own shades of meaning and possible interpretations, creates too much chance for confusion and error.

The office and the Examiner very much want to do a good job on this case, but if 25 claims are processed, it is deemed that the Examiner, the Quality Reviewers, the Board and the Public will have a high chance of confusion and error.

Furthermore, the fairly simple concept of a rotary cutting tool have a specific bit does not warrant 25 claims. Such a concept could be covered with significantly less claims. The Examiner deems that 3 independent claims, and up to 13 dependent (non-multiply-dependent) claims would more than suffice to cover this concept.

In response hereto, Applicant should elect up to 3 independent claims and up to 13 dependent claims for further prosecutions. See MPEP 2173.05(n). It is noted that Applicant need not cancel the non-elected claims at this time.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,180 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

and 4pm.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ken Peterson whose telephone number is 703-308-2186. Effective on about 16 November 04, the phone number will change to 571-272-4512. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday between 7am

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9306. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

kp November 8, 2004

> KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER